

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			<del> </del>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,372	02/25/2004	Shiying Zheng	85588RLO	3400
7	590 08/04/2005		EXAM	INER
Pamela R. Cre	Pamela R. Crocker GARRETT, DA		DAWN L	
Patent Legal St	aff			
East Kodak Co	mpany		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			1774	
Rochester, NY	14650-2201		DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,372	ZHENG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Dawn Garrett	vith the correspondence add	ress
Period for Reply	appears on are sover sneet in	in and controponation and	7000
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this con.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 June 2005.		
	his action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 3-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examine	er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor-	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	n received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	•
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_ Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	450)
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-25-04</u> .	(08) 5) \( \bigcap \) Notice of 6) \( \bigcap \) Other: \( \bigcap \)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
. apo. 110(0)/111aii Dato <u>2 20 0 1</u> .			

Art Unit: 1774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is responsive to the response to the restriction requirement dated June 1, 2005. Claims 8-10 were added. Claims 1-10 are pending. Since applicant was required to elect a single species of polymer as set forth in the election of species requirement mailed May 17, 2005, the examiner has interpreted applicant's remarks dated June 1, 2005 regarding the addition of claims 8-10 drawn to a specific species wherein Ar, Ar<sub>1</sub>, Ar<sub>2</sub>, Ar<sub>3</sub> and Ar<sub>4</sub> are individually phenyl groups such that this is the elected species selected by applicant.

Accordingly, the species under consideration at this time is a compound according to the claim formula where Ar, Ar<sub>1</sub>, Ar<sub>2</sub>, Ar<sub>3</sub> and Ar<sub>4</sub> are individually phenyl groups. Claims 1 and 3-10 read upon this species. Claim 2 is withdrawn at this time as a non-elected claim.

#### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be in the form of one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 1, 5, and 6 and claim 2 recite "or Ar<sub>1</sub> and Ar<sub>2</sub>, Ar<sub>3</sub> and Ar<sub>4</sub>, Ar<sub>1</sub> and Ar<sub>4</sub>, Ar<sub>2</sub> and Ar<sub>4</sub> are connected through a chemical bond". The claim language appears to recite

Art Unit: 1774

that each of these combinations must be present at the same time; however, this does not appear to be the case since it does not appear that Ar<sub>1</sub> could be connected to both Ar<sub>2</sub> and Ar<sub>4</sub> at the same time. Clarification and/or correction are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujiyama et al. (JP 08-113622). Fujiyama et al. discloses polyazomethine, its production and thin film electroluminescent element (see title). Fujiyama discloses a repeat unit according to Formula [3], (X - N = CH - Y - CH = N) (see par. 12), wherein Y of the formula may be

(see par. 34). Formula 3 (see par. 12) shows a conjugate group immediately adjacent to the tertiary amine "Y" group. Since X may be a plurality of groups according to dependent claim 3 and the polymer described by claims 1 and 6 does not limit further groups from being present in the polymer, Formula 3 wherein Y is the above shown [Y-4] is deemed to anticipate the polymer species under consideration. Fujiyama et al. discloses the electroluminescent layer is disposed between electrodes (see par. 46).

Art Unit: 1774

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leclerc et al. (US 6,630,254) in view of Fujiyama et al. (JP 08-113622). Leclerc et al. discloses an organic light emitting diode (OLED) comprising as an active material a compound of the following formula (see claim 12, col. 10):

wherein

R is a substituent selected from the group consisting of  $C_1-C_{22}$  linear or branched alkyl group, poly (ethyleneoxy), cyano, aryl, amide, and benzoyl;

Z is a co-monomer selected from the group consisting of ethylene, acetylene,  $C_8-C_{22}$ -aromatic groups,  $C_2-C_{10}$ -heterocyclic groups, and tertiary amines;

x is an integer between 1 and 100;
y is an integer between 0 and 100; and
n is an integer between about 3 to about 100;
wherein when y is greater than 1, each Z may be the same or different.

The carbazole group of the above compound reads upon the conjugated "X" portion of the present polymer under consideration. Z in the above Leclerc et al. formula may be a tertiary amine; however, Leclerc et al. fails to specify the tertiary amine according to the present species under consideration. Fujiyama et al. teaches in analogous art the following tertiary amine moiety for use in a light-emitting polymer (see par. 34):

Art Unit: 1774

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected any tertiary amine moiety known in the art, including the above [Y-4] moiety taught by Fujiyama et al., because Leclerc et al. generally teaches a tertiary amine may be the "Z" group of the active material polymer compound taught by Leclerc et al. With regard to claim 5, the layers of the Leclerc et al. device comprising the conjugated poly(N-alkyl-2,7,-carbazole) derivative may be doped with other hole or electron transporting materials per the polymer being doped with "other light emitting materials" (see col. 4, lines 3-8; figure 3; first example, col. 7; second example, col. 8). The active material taught by Leclerc et al. may be used as an emitter per claims 4 and 7 (see col. 4, lines 3-8).

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Sawlt Dawn Garrett PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1774

D.G. July 29, 2005